

Andrew **Bastawrous**

Professor Global Eye Health: International Centre for Eye Health, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Co-Founder & CEO: Peek Vision, London, UK, and Co-Founder: Vision Catalyst Fund.



Jordan Kassalow Founder & Vice Chairman: VisionSpring, New York, USA and Co-founder & Chairman, EYElliance.



Elanor Watts Research Consultant: Peek Vision, UK and Ophthalmology Registrar: Tennent Institute of Ophthalmology, Glasgow, UK.

Global uncorrected refractive error and presbyopia: the size of the problem

Millions are struggling to learn, be healthy, and earn a living because they don't have spectacles.

ncorrected refractive error is often ignored in the realm of global health priorities, yet its substantial impact on the economic and personal wellbeing of individuals and societies worldwide is undeniable. Myopia (shortsightedness), hyperopia (farsightedness), and astigmatism, grouped together under the term 'refractive error', cause blurred distance and/or near vision, and presbyopia

(age-related loss of accommodation) causes blurred near vision. Although presbyopia has a different mechanism to the other refractive errors, all these conditions can be corrected using spectacles or contact lenses. For the purposes of this article, therefore, we will use the term refractive error to refer to refractive error and presbyopia.

Unless refractive errors are corrected (using spectacles, contact lenses, or otherwise), the children and adults affected will experience difficulties in tasks that are crucial for daily living, education, and employment.

In this article, we summarise the estimated prevalence, the populations affected, and the implications if refractive error and optical services are not extended to everyone who needs them. We aim to equip policy makers and refractive error care providers alike to advocate for the resources required to tackle this global issue.

How big is the problem?

The estimates of global magnitude vary widely, due to relatively limited primary data and the different modelling assumptions made (see panel).

The currently accepted estimates of global magnitude for 2020, from the Vision Loss Expert Group, are:

- Blindness (defined as distance visual acuity worse than 3/60) due to uncorrected refractive error: 3.7 million¹
- Moderate or severe distance vision impairment (defined as distance visual acuity worse than 6/18 but equal to or better than 3/60) due to uncorrected refractive error: 157 million¹
- Near vision impairment (defined as near visual acuity worse than N6 at 40 cm), due to uncorrected presbyopia: 510 million.²

This is a total of 670 million people, predominantly in Africa and Asia, who are blind or have vision impairment because they do not have the spectacles they need.^{1,2}

The prevalence and distribution of near and distance vision impairment due to uncorrected refractive error is expected to change significantly in coming decades, due in part to the rise of myopia, most rapidly in East Asia, and to a rise in presbyopia due to population ageing.



A child is screened for refractive error in her school. ZIMBABWE

Children and learning

Children are particularly vulnerable to the consequences of uncorrected refractive error. Children who do not receive adequate correction for their refractive error are at risk of lifelong visual impairment due to amblyopia. This not only affects the individual, but also stunts the development of entire communities and nations. In addition, uncorrected myopia and hyperopia can hinder academic progress, leading to lower educational attainment and future career opportunities. A myopic child who can't see the chalkboard may be misdiagnosed with learning disabilities.

Productivity and economic impact

Whereas cataract, the other leading cause of avoidable visual impairment, affects mostly older, non-working people, the impact of refractive error extends throughout the working-age population. Correcting refractive error increases productivity (by up to 32%³) and reduces absenteeism and job losses. This results in substantial economic gains for individuals and nations. In low- and middle-income countries, where access to vision care is limited, the economic consequences of not treating individuals is especially severe. Uncorrected myopia leads to an estimated global productivity loss of US \$244 billion⁴ while presbyopia may be responsible for a loss of between US \$25 billion⁵ and US \$54 billion.⁶

Healthy ageing

Vision impairment has been associated with worse outcomes among older adults, including cognitive decline and dementia,⁷ depression,⁸ and increased risk of falls⁹ and fracture,¹⁰ all of which increase morbidity and mortality. Refractive services therefore have the potential to not only improve vision and quality of life, but also to save lives.

Eye health equity

Access to eye care is often inequitable and vision impairment due to refractive error can make this worse, with rural and marginalised communities

suffering the most. This is true both on a global scale and within communities. Globally, South Asia, South East Asia, and sub-Saharan Africa have the highest prevalence of uncorrected refractive error (standardised for age).² Within communities, prevalence is higher, and willingness-to-pay (a measurement of how much a person can afford to spend) for spectacles is lower, among those with lower incomes.^{11,12} Addressing refractive error is not just a matter of vision; it is a matter of social justice. It is about ensuring that everyone has the same opportunities for education, employment, and a high quality of life. Neglecting refractive error can worsen inequalities and social exclusion.

The role of refractive error care providers

Every eye care provider plays a crucial role in addressing this issue, whether on a local, regional, or global scale. Refractive service providers, usually led by optometrists, are the bridge between policy and practice: global health goals can only have a tangible impact if eye care workers are there to implement them.

Including eye care in general health care, and making spectacles for presbyopia available in the community (e.g., at pharmacies) is crucial given the scale of the problem; this is in line with WHO recommendations for the provision of presbyopia correction at the community level.¹³ Technology provides an opportunity to ease this transition by making it possible to train community and primary health care workers from a distance, provide decision support algorithms, and the potential for remote supervision or telemedicine input when needed. Tele-refraction is a growing field, although there is not yet enough evidence supporting its use¹⁴

Advocating for resources

Policy makers must prioritise uncorrected refractive error as a part of their broader health and development agenda. Investing in refractive error and optical services not only improves the lives of individuals, but also promotes economic development. Access to affordable spectacles and regular eye examinations should be integrated into national health systems.

Promoting education and awareness

Refractive error care providers can help raise awareness about the importance of regular eye examinations and the availability of affordable corrective measures. They can also advocate for comprehensive school vision screening programmes to identify and address refractive error in children early, and they can offer workplace assessments for employees as a way to increase productivity and safety. Refractive error correction is also key to road safety: visual impairment has been found to be associated with a 46% greater risk of road traffic collision.¹⁵

WHO SPECS 2030

In 2021, WHO member states endorsed a global target to increase effective refractive error coverage (eREC) by 40 percentage points. The World Health Organization has recently launched a new SPECS 2030 initiative, aiming to provide quality, affordable and people-centred refractive error services to everyone who needs them.

Conclusion

Near and distance vision impairment, due to uncorrected refractive error and presbyopia, is a global health issue with profound implications for individuals, communities, and nations. Stakeholders and health workers at all levels have a role to play in tackling this large, but solvable, problem. As policy makers and health service managers, the responsibility lies with you to allocate resources and prioritise refractive services in national health agendas. As refractive error care providers, the work you do restores not only your patients' eyesight, but also their education, income, and safety.

References

- Burton MJ, Ramke J, Marques AP, Bourne RRA, Congdon N, Jones I, et al. The Lancet Global Health Commission on Global Eye Health: vision beyond 2020. Lancet Glob Health. 2021 Apr;9(4):e489-e551.
- 2 GBD 2019 Blindness and Vision Impairment Collaborators; Vision Loss Expert Group of the Global Burden of Disease Study. Trends in prevalence of blindness and distance and near vision impairment over 30 years: an analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet Glob Health. 2021;9(2):e130-e143.
- 3 Reddy PA, Congdon N, MacKenzie G, Gogate P, Wen Q, Jan C, et al. Effect of providing near glasses on productivity among rural Indian tea workers with presbyopia (PROSPER): a randomised trial. Lancet Glob Health. 2018;6(9):e1019-27.
- 4 Naidoo KS, Fricke TR, Frick KD, Jong M, Naduvilath TJ, Resnikoff S, Sankaridurg P. Potential Lost Productivity Resulting from the Global Burden of Myopia: Systematic Review, Meta-analysis, and Modeling. Ophthalmol. 2019;126(3):338-46.
- 5 Frick KD, Joy SM, Wilson DA, Naidoo KS, Holden BA. The Global Burden of Potential Productivity Loss from Uncorrected Presbyopia. Ophthalmol. 2015;122(8):1706-10.
- 6 Ma Q, Chen M, Li D, Zhou R, Du Y, Yin S, et al. Potential productivity loss from uncorrected and under-corrected presbyopia in low- and middleincome countries: A life table modeling study. Front Public Health. 2022;10:983423.
- 7 Nagarajan N, Assi L, Varadaraj V, Motaghi M, Sun Y, Couser E, et al. Vision impairment and cognitive decline among older adults: a systematic review. BMJ Open. 2022;12(1):e047929.
- 8 Maier A, Riedel-Heller SG, Pabst A, Luppa M. Risk factors and protective factors of depression in older people 65+. A systematic review. PLoS One. 2021;16(5):e0251326.
- Ehrlich JR, Hassan SE, Stagg BC. Prevalence of Falls and Fall-Related Outcomes in Older Adults with Self-Reported Vision Impairment. J Am Geriatr Soc. 2019;67(2):239-45.
- 10 Hamedani AG, VanderBeek BL, Willis AW. Blindness and Visual Impairment in the Medicare Population: Disparities and Association with Hip Fracture and Neuropsychiatric Outcomes. Ophthalmic Epidemiol. 2019;26(4):279-85.
- 11 Ramke J, Palagyi A, du Toit R, Brian G. Using assessment of willingness to pay to improve a Cambodian spectacle service. Br J Ophthalmol. 2008;92(2):170-4.
- 12 Ebri AE, O'Neill C, Azubuike K, Congdon N, Graham C, Lohfeld L, Chan VF. Caretakers' stated willingness to pay for children's spectacles in cross river state, Nigeria and its implication for a cross-subsidisation scheme: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2023;23(1):1075.
- 13 World Health Organization. Package of Eye Care Interventions. Geneva: WHO. 2022 May 20. ISBN: 978-92-4-004895-9.
- 14 Blais N, Tousignant B, Hanssens JM. Tele-refraction in tele-eye care settings. Clin Exp Optom. 2022;105(6):573-81.
- 15 Piyasena P, Olvera-Herrera VO, Chan VF, Clarke M, Wright DM, MacKenzie G, et al. Vision impairment and traffic safety outcomes in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2021;9(10):e1411-22.
- Holden et al (2016): Holden BA, Fricke TR, Wilson DA, Jong M, Naidoo KS, Sankaridurg P. Global Prevalence of Myopia and High Myopia and Temporal Trends from 2000 through 2050. Ophthalmol. 2016;123(5):1036-42.
- 17 Eliminating Poor Vision in a Generation Report: Essilor. Eliminating Poor Vision in a Generation: What will it take to eliminate uncorrected refractive errors by 2050? France: EssilorLuxottica. 2020. **bit.ly/visgen**
- 18 World Health Organization. World Report on Vision. Geneva: WHO, 2019. https://bit.ly/world-report-on-vision
- 19 Fricke et al (2018): Fricke TR, Tahhan N, Resnikoff S, Papas E, Burnett A, Ho SM, Naduvilath T, Naidoo KS. Global Prevalence of Presbyopia and Vision Impairment from Uncorrected Presbyopia: Systematic Review, Meta-analysis, and Modelling. Ophthalmol. 2018;125(10):1492-9.
- 20 Castagno VD, Fassa AG, Carret ML, Vilela MA, Meucci RD. Hyperopia: a meta-analysis of prevalence and a review of associated factors among school-aged children. BMC Ophthalmol. 2014;14:163.



for near

improve

productivity.

vision

GHANA

© ADEBOWALE ALFRED ADEKUNLE CC BY-NC-SA

Recent global estimates of refractive error and presbyopia

These tables summarise some of the most widely accepted estimates of the magnitude of refractive error in recent years, and the studies on which these are based. Note that the Eliminating Poor Vision in a Generation Report uses a different threshold (visual acuity < 6/9), which has contributed to the large difference in reported magnitude. However, there remains a shortage of primary data on which to base estimates. Ongoing data collection via eye care programmes and surveys, such as Rapid Assessment of Avoidable Blindness (RAAB) surveys, should improve the accuracy of future estimates.

Table 1 Global estimates of the number of people with distance vision impairment or blindness due to refractive error (uncorrected, corrected, and total).

| | Holden et al (2016) ¹⁶ | Eliminating Poor Vision in a Generation Report ¹⁷ | World Report on Vision ¹⁸ | Vision Loss Expert Group ² and Bourne et al (2020), Lancet Commission on Global Eye Health ¹ |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|---|
| Definitions | ≤ -0.5 diopter | Visual acuity (VA) < 6/9 | Moderate to severe visual impairment (MSVI) 3/60 < VA < 6/18 | MSVI or blindness (VA < 6/18) |
| Uncorrected refractive error | - | 2.7 billion ^{16,20} | 123.7 million | 161 million |
| Corrected refractive error | | 2.0 billion ^{16,20} | - | |
| Total refractive error | 2.6 billion (myopia only, for 2020) | 4.7 billion ^{16,20} | - | |

Table 2 Global estimates of near vision impairment due to presbyopia.

| | Fricke et al (2018) ¹⁹ | Eliminating Poor Vision in a Generation Report ¹⁷ | World Report on Vision ¹⁸ | Vision Loss Expert Group and Bourne et al (2020), ² Lancet Commission on Global Eye Health ¹ |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Uncorrected presbyopia | 826 million (for 2015) | - | 826 million ¹⁹ | 510 million (for 2020) 866 million (predicted for 2050) |
| Corrected presbyopia | 1 billion | - | 1 billion ¹⁹ | - |
| Total presbyopia | 1.8 billion (2015) | 1.4 billion ¹⁹ (with no other refractive error) | 1.8 billion ¹⁹ | - |