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Leprosy and Eye Disease in West Bengal
Leprosy is endemic in West Bengal, particularly in the five districts of Burdwan division in the southern part of the State. The endemicity rate of the disease in the districts of Bankura, Midnapore, Purulia, Birbhum and Burdwan under this division varies from 10 to 12 per thousand of the population (the total population of this division is around 30 million). Here most of the patients have paucibacillary (PB) leprosy (75%) and the rest have multibacillary (MB), like other parts of India. Of these patients, 15% had ocular lesions and 1.5% were blind due to the complications of leprosy whether from complicated cataract or uveal affection. Recently, under the National Leprosy Eradication Programme, the successful implementation of Multi-Drug Therapy according to WHO recommendations declared most of the patients as ‘RFT’ (Released From Treatment). A study on ‘RFT’ patients (with an equal number of PB and MB cases) showed that 52% had eye disease, 70% of which developed as a result of leprosy. Of this group, 28% of after care leprosy sufferers have ‘high risk eyes’, i.e., the aftermath of leprosy, although they are discharged from active systemic anti-leprosy treatment. Lepthalnosis with hypoaesthetic corneas (with or without exposure), chronic dacryocystitis, recurrent attacks of uveitis, one-eyed individuals, mutilated as well as ulcerated extremities, with maintenance of poor personal ocular hygiene, make those ‘after care leprosy sufferers’ always at risk. They may develop severe ocular inflammation leading to irreversible blindness consequent to the lack of proper supervision and early intervention.

Eye Care for Leprosy Patients
Most of these after care leprosy sufferers live in colonies with their families. Being ostracised from society due to the stigma of the disease they have ‘accepted’ this isolated life with an idea of living in a world out of the world. They mainly thrive on cultivating vegetables and fruits, goat keeping, daily labour on farms, rickshaw-pulling and as cleaning staff of the municipal towns. Until 1990 it was very difficult to perform cataract surgery or other operations on these poor patients in general hospitals, again due to the stigma. So an ‘eye camp approach’ in the leprosy hospital had been adopted to tackle the huge burden of cataract blindness in leprosy. In the 1980s under the National Programme for Control of Blindness the ‘after care leprosy sufferers’ organisation, (namely Pasehim Banga Kusha Kalyan Parishad and Mahakuma Kusha Nibaran Samity) used to organise and volunteer their service towards the nursing as well as the paramedical tasks required to conduct these eye camps solely for leprosy sufferers. The authors, with the help of their mobile eye unit, used to perform intracapsular cataract operations, pterygium surgery, dacryocystectomy, and other operations with a 90–95% successful operative outcome.

Leprosy Patients in the Community
But the concept as well as the certification of these ‘RFT’ patients have revolutionised the total picture of the current day community eye health care programme amongst leprosy sufferers. Today there is no bar to former leprosy patients with the ‘RFT’ certificate being admitted into a general hospital as the doctors, nurses and paramedics are free from fear of the disease. On the other hand, eye camps are still going on in community halls or primary school buildings in the leprosy colonies or in the temporary eye wards of the leprosaria (government leprosy hospitals or the hospitals run by The Leprosy Mission). Amongst these patients attending the camp there are both after care leprosy sufferers of the colonies and healthy individuals from the surrounding villages. These eye camps are being organised jointly by the after care leprosy sufferers organisation, local panchayet (the lowest democratic administrative level of a cluster of villages) and an NGO, like Lions and St John Ambulance Association. It is really community participation beyond all fear, anxiety and stigma when leprosy sufferers and healthy individuals are found side by side on the same floor of the eye camp receiving nursing care as well as the food served and prepared by the after care leprosy sufferers. In 1996, two such eye camps were organised in the district of Bankura – one at The Leprosy Mission Home and Hospital, Bankura with the help of the Lions Club of Bankura and the other at the Paardoba Leprosy Colony, Paardoba, Bankura with the help of the Bankura district centre of St John Ambulance Association. In the first camp 18 mature cataracts and one pterygium, and in the latter 15 cataract and 6 pterygium were operated on, with 97.5% success. (Only one patient, one eye, developed endophthalmitis six months after surgery). Lastly, another notable feature in the
community ophthalmic picture is the easy acceptance of the after care leprosy sufferers (each with an RFT certificate) in the mass eye camps organised outside the arena of the leprosarium. The leprosy sufferers are not kept in a separate room but receive all the care given to any other healthy individual, staying side by side in the same temporary wards. In the context of the Indian scene of leprosy care, and after care, this unique change of attitude is a positive outcome in our day to day community ophthalmic practice.
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Objective: This study aimed to identify factors associated with compliance with glaucoma follow-up visits.

Design: Computer records of a university residents’ eye clinic were reviewed to identify a random sample of all persons who had an examination with International Classification of Disease (ICD) 9 coding (ICD9) for glaucoma suspect or glaucoma during a 2-year period (1991–1993) to undergo telephone interview.

Participants: Those who were seen at least every 6 months regardless of earlier return instructions were defined as compliant with follow-up (controls n = 362). Those who had any lapse between visits of longer than 6 months were defined as noncompliant (cases, n = 362).

Results: Interviews were completed for 196 cases and 242 controls. Noncompliant persons were significantly more likely to be suspects for glaucoma rather than have definite glaucoma and to be dissatisfied with waiting time in the clinic (29.1% vs. 17.8%, P < 0.005) and to state that they did not take their glaucoma medications as prescribed (25.4% vs. 13.4%, P < 0.004). They also were less likely to have been prescribed eye drop medication. A high percentage of both patients and controls knew that glaucoma can lead to blindness (85.2% and 88.4%, respectively). The most common reasons patients gave for not keeping follow-up visits were the perception that their eye problem was ‘not serious enough’, the cost of examinations, and that the doctor did not tell them to come back.

Conclusion: Compliance with follow-up visits for glaucoma is associated with markers for early disease. Attempts to improve compliance might focus on improved communication of the seriousness of the disease and improvements in clinic waiting time.
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