Managing patient records in the eye unit

Types of records

Paper records are the standard in most low- and middle-income countries. These records can be held by the clinic or held by the patient; the latter is helpful when the health centre is unable to hold printed records or when patients are very mobile and have a choice of health care centres to attend. Sometimes there is a combination of both, as explained in the Good Shepherd Hospital case study (see box below).

Electronic records have many advantages because of the way data can be handled and analysed; some systems allow the eye care team to do away with paper notes altogether and enter information directly into the computer system. However, this can be expensive in terms of the equipment needed, software, and the training of staff; not to mention the cost of repairs and trouble-shooting if things go wrong.

Organising records and keeping them safe

Information on patients is confidential. Some countries have data protection and patient confidentiality legislation which must be followed. Patient records can be filed in different ways: by number (usually a unique patient number is assigned) by name (used less frequently) and by date of birth (useful for reducing medical records in very old patients). Electronic records need to be backed up with a paper copy, and comply with confidentiality and data protection legislation. Any system is vulnerable to loss or damage; remember that the most secure system can be compromised by a malicious user.

Case study: Good Shepherd Hospital Eye Care Project

Good Shepherd Hospital Eye Care Project in Swaziland has a hybrid system of patient- and clinic-held records, assisted by a computer-based system.

The problem

We inherited a paper-based system which had both patient- and clinic-held records. Frustrations with this system included the extra work required to copy information from clinic to patient record by hand. We also found that the success rate of retrieving both clinic and client records was less than 75%, which meant starting all over again! Reasons for the loss of patient records were many, but were generally related to the flimsy physical nature of the records. Reasons for loss of the clinic records were due to patient name confusion or incorrect filing, the latter due to a haphazard filing system made worse by the use of bulky stationery.

Finding a solution

We asked a businessman to sit in the clinic and observe the existing records system; this ‘outsider’ perspective helped us think about and redesign the system. We also reflected on the advantages of combined patient- and clinic-held records and the need for a computer to assist with data collection.

How the system works

The A4 cards used for clinic- and patient-held records are nearly identical and line up perfectly, so that carbon paper can be used to capture information on both records at the same time; this minimises the writing required of clinical staff. Both are A4 in size.

Sturdy card (160 grammes per square metre) is used for the hand-held patient record, which makes it less likely to get lost or damaged. Lighter card/paper (60 grammes per square metre) is used for the clinic record, which makes it easy to file.

The potential for patient name confusion is eliminated by the use of a unique patient number which is assigned to each patient as they register; this number is copied onto both the clinic and patient records.

The front page of the clinic record has space to capture demographic information at the top, space to record five consultations, a diagnosis list on the side, and space to record surgical outcomes. The patient record or card is identical, but the names and logos of the clinic’s principal donors are printed in the space for surgical outcomes.

The reverse of the clinic record is printed with an eye examination schematic as well as space for listing procedures performed.

The reverse of the patient card is printed with health information as well as a hand-held Snellen chart.

At the consultation, the nurse uses carbon paper between the clinic and patient records and writes down the visual acuity, blood pressure, and blood sugar (if indicated) on both records. The doctor records the examination on the reverse of the clinic record and, using
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carbon paper, records diagnosis, management, and treatment on both the clinic and patient records.

The patient card is folded in half, placed in a plastic sleeve, and given to the patient, who uses it to pay fees and/or collect any prescriptions.

At the end of the day, or later that week, the nurse will enter information from the clinic-held record into the computer database. This includes the demographic information (if new, or if there are changes) and the data from the consultation (such as diagnosis and treatment). The clinical notes are not copied. This process takes about two

minutes per new record, or less for a follow-up consultation.

The clinic record is then filed according to the unique client number in an ordinary lever-arch A4 file.

At follow-up, patients present their patient card and the number is used to retrieve the clinic record. In case the patient card is lost, the computer is used to look up the unique number. Staff then find the clinic record and issue a duplicate patient card.

The software

A well-known database programme is designed to match the clinic-held record exactly. The programme is easy to use and does not require prior computer skills.

The same data could also be stored in a simple spreadsheet.

So far, an extra person (and therefore salary) has not been needed to record and subsequently enter data into the electronic database.

Value and effectiveness

The success rate of uniting patient with clinic records is now better than 95%. The system is easy to understand and operate by non-specialist eye care staff and highly accurate data can be obtained at low cost.

From the software, useful management information can be extracted, such as daily, weekly, or monthly statistics, as well as payment information for accounting purposes.

Some of the data collected, such as visual outcome after surgery and presentation by diagnosis, is used for clinical audit. Interesting research questions can also be answered, such as: “What is the average age and blood sugar of patients presenting with proliferative diabetic retinopathy?” This information is strategic in the design of public health interventions, as well as being of interest to the international medical community.

This is a work-in-progress and refinements are constantly being made, even after eight years of use.